United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. YAO-3750US4 7681 10/712,126 11/13/2003 Kazuhisa Yamamoto 23122 03/12/2007 **EXAMINER RATNERPRESTIA** NGUYEN, DUNG T P O BOX 980 **VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2828

| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|--|--------------|---------------|
| 31 DAVS | . 03/12/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| | 10/712,126 | YAMAMOTO ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen | 2828. | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| Period for Reply | , 10 057 70 EVDIDE , MONTH | O) OF THETY (OO) DAYO | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | the mailing date of this communication. | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 De | ecember 2006. | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>81,85,86 and 90-95</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | Marie e | | |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | ž. | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>81,85,86 and 90-95</u> are subject to res | triction and/or election requireme | nt. | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | 's 'agic | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f) | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | priority arraor to the end of the (a) | , (-) - | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | 1 (c) | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. • | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | * * * | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | · | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/712,126

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Fig.24 (claims 81, 85-86, and 90-91) is directed to a single mode fiber 40 for conveying laser light from the semiconductor laser 20 to the optical wavelength conversion element 25.

II Fig.25 (claims 92-95) is directed to a fiber 40 for conveying laser light from the semiconductor laser 20; a solid state laser crystal 21 for receiving laser light from the fiber and generating a fundamental wave; and a bulk type optical wavelength conversion element 25 in which periodic domain inverted structures are formed, the optical wavelength conversion element receiving the fundamental wave and generating a harmonic wave.

The species are independent or distinct because species I and II are mutually different.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,126

Art Unit: 2828

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,126

Art Unit: 2828

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 19:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Dung Nguyen

nichael w

2/21/07